Kwame M. Kilpatrick 44678=039 FCI Fort Dix P.O. Box 2000 Joint Base MDL, New Jersey 08640

FILED USDC - DT 2019 MAY 8 AM8:44

May 7, 2019

Clerk, United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan Theodore Levin United States Courthouse 231 West Lafayette Blvd Detroit, Michigan 48226

RE: KILPATRICK V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Cause No. 2-10-cr-20403-NGE-MKM-1

## Dear Clerk,

Enclosed please find and accept for filing, REQUEST FOR TIME (14 DAYS from the date of this communication) to RESPOND TO THE GOVERNMENT'S REBUTTAL TO MOTION FOR REHEARING and MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION/RECUSE United States District Court Judge Nance G. Edmunds from further participation in these proceedings. Motions were filed to this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 455(a). Oral Argument was has been requested. And Defendant has also reserve the right to file a reply to the Government Rebuttal/Response.

Defendant is respectfully requesting that the court will give him more time (14 Days from the date of this communication) to file a response to the Government's Rebuttal argument filed to this court on May 2nd or 3rd, 2019. Defendant was made aware of the filing through conversations with family members. He has not received the filing, nor any notice thereof. A copy of the filing has not been received by Defendant at FCI Fort Dix, nor does he have any access to the government filing, whatsoever.

Defendant has read a short press clip about the Government filing. It simply stated that the government argument was centered around a subjective implication that he is "remorseless" and has not said that "he was sorry."

Defendant believes that the government may have offered some legal arguments within their rebuttal, and may even have attempted to deny their own culpability in colluding (ex-parte meetings and other communications) with defendant's trial attorney, Jim Thomas, and the trial judge, in assuring that defendant would be denied a fair-trial.

Maybe the government espoused a notion that they in-fact performed their duty to protect the defendant's trial rights, and were not involved in promoting, encouraging, and participating-in three separate egregious conflicts-of-interests between Jim Thomas and Defendant.

Or maybe the government simply proffered political and media-driven claims of "no remorse" and not saying "I'm sorry."

Defendant is left to guess what strategy was used by the Government.

For this reason, Defendant is respectfully requesting that the court would give him time to, at the very least, receive the filing, read/review it, and then respond.

Respectfully Submitted,

Kom 2. Koph O

Kwame Kilpatrick

pro se in forma pauperis